

## 1. Seiches and resonances

a) Consider a circular, flat-bottomed lake of depth  $H$  and radius  $R \gg H$ . What are the natural oscillation frequencies? Use this to estimate the period for the gravest mode in Lake Victoria and Great Salt Lake.

b) Consider the tidally forced problem in a deep ocean/shelf geometry but ignoring  $f$ . The shelf has depth  $H_1$  and a vertical wall at  $x = -L$ . The “shelf break” is at  $x = 0$ ; the deep ocean  $H = H_2$  extends infinitely far to positive  $x$ . The tidal forcing is  $\eta_e = \eta_0 \cos(kx + \omega t)$ . Find  $|\eta(-L)|/\eta_0$ . Discuss the resonance and its relation to an approximate free mode. Consider what happens as  $H_2 \gg H_1$ .

## 2. Tidally-forced mean flows

Consider a 2D bank with shallow water dynamics including bottom drag

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u + u\frac{\partial}{\partial x}u - fv &= -g\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\eta - ru/h \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}v + u\frac{\partial}{\partial x}v + fu &= -rv/h \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\eta + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}uh &= 0 \\ h &= H(x) + \eta(x, t)\end{aligned}$$

The approach here is somewhat different from the version in class.

a) Write the equations for the transport  $U = uh$ ,  $V = vh$ . Consider the tidal forcing as applying a boundary condition  $U \rightarrow A \cos \omega t$  as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$  assuming  $H$  becomes constant.

b) Derive the time averages of these equations; what does the mass equation tell you about  $\bar{U}$ ? The y-momentum equation gives you an expression for  $\bar{v}$ . Express this in terms of  $\bar{huv}$ .

c) Now, we want to calculate the Reynolds' momentum flux. Suppose the wave amplitude is small and the mean flows are second order. Write the lowest order equations. Apply the tidally forcing  $u_0H = A \cos \omega t$ . Find  $v_0$  and estimate  $\bar{huv} \simeq H\bar{u_0v_0}$ .

d) Use this to write an expression for  $\bar{v}$ . For a ridge topography, sketch the resultant velocity and show that is anticyclonic.

## 3. Wind-driven upwelling

Consider a stratified ocean with a wind stress

$$\tau/\rho = T \cos(\ell y)$$

- Find the Ekman pumping. When would the stratification near the surface matter? Figure out the conditions but for the rest of the problem assume it does not.
- Find the interior response and sketch the flow pattern.

#### 4. Temperature and salinity eddy fluxes.

The tracer conservation equation for a generic tracer  $c$  is

$$\frac{\partial c}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}c = \kappa_c \nabla^2 c$$

where  $\kappa_c$  is the molecular diffusivity of that tracer.

- 1) Assuming that  $c$  can be split into a large scale component  $\bar{c}$  and a small scale component  $c'$ , so that  $c = \bar{c} + c'$  and  $\bar{c}' = 0$ , and similarly for the velocity field, write down equations for the time-evolution of the large scale temperature  $\bar{T}$  and salinity  $\bar{S}$ .
- 2) Assume that the small-scale dynamics influence the large scale fields only through vertical fluxes, which can be parameterized in terms of diffusion down the large scale gradient with eddy diffusivity  $\kappa_T^*$  for temperature and  $\kappa_S^*$  for salinity. Rewrite the equations from (a) incorporating this parameterization of the small-scale fluxes.
- 3) Now combine both equations from (b) to form one equation for the large scale buoyancy  $\bar{b} = \alpha\bar{T} - \beta\bar{S}$ , and show that the small scale fluxes of buoyancy can again be written in terms of diffusion in the direction of the large scale density gradient, with eddy diffusivity

$$\kappa_b^* = \frac{\kappa_T^* R_\rho - \kappa_S^*}{R_\rho - 1}$$

where  $R_\rho = \frac{\alpha \partial \bar{T} / \partial z}{\beta \partial \bar{S} / \partial z}$ .

- 4) For warm salty water overlying cold fresh water, small-scale fluxes of salt and temperature may be due to either turbulent mixing, or salt-fingering. In the turbulent regime, salt and heat are mixed equally efficiently. In the salt fingering regime  $(\alpha \overline{w'T'}) / (\beta \overline{w'S'}) \approx 0.7$ . Find  $\kappa_b^* / \kappa_S^*$  under these two circumstances. Comment on the sign of  $\kappa_b^*$

#### 5. Instability of an oscillatory shear flow.

In class we discussed the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability of a shear flow in a stably stratified fluid and used that model to study the instability of inertia-gravity waves. Here we wish to step back and investigate whether the oscillatory character of the shear associated with inertia-gravity waves may play an important role in the instability.

Consider the same setup we discussed in class. The basic state consists of two fluids of different densities  $\rho_1 > \rho_2$  separated by an interface. The lighter fluid is on top of the heavier one, so the stratification is stable. The flow in the upper layer is  $U_2$  and in the lower layer  $U_1$ . While  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  are constant in space in each layer, they are different in the two layers giving rise to a shear at the interface. Departing from the analysis we discussed in class, we will assume that the flow in the two layers oscillates in time so that  $U_1 = U_1(t)$  and  $U_2 = U_2(t)$ .

- 1) Write the evolution equation for small perturbations of the interface  $\eta(x, t)$ , i.e. perturbations independent of the  $y$ -direction. Consider solutions of the form  $\eta(x, t) = \hat{\eta}(t) \exp(kx)$ . Show that the evolution equation for  $\hat{\eta}(t)$  is

$$\frac{d^2 \hat{\eta}}{dt^2} + 2ik(\alpha_1 U_1 + \alpha_2 U_2) \frac{d\hat{\eta}}{dt} +$$

$$+ \left( gk(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) - \alpha_1 k^2 U_1 - \alpha_2 k^2 U_2 + i\alpha_1 k \frac{dU_1}{dt} + i\alpha_2 k \frac{dU_2}{dt} \right) \hat{\eta} = 0$$

where

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{\rho_1}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}.$$

2) Show that you can eliminate the first derivative term by means of the substitution,

$$\zeta(t) = \hat{\eta} \exp \left( -ik \int (\alpha_1 U_1 + \alpha_2 U_2) dt \right)$$

Verify that the stability of  $\zeta(t)$  is governed by,

$$\frac{d^2 \zeta}{dt^2} + (gk(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) - \alpha_1 \alpha_2 k^2 (U_1 - U_2)^2) \zeta = 0$$

3) Now assume that

$$U_1 - U_2 \equiv \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \right) \Delta U \cos \omega t$$

as a simple model of an oscillatory wave field. Show that in this limit the stability of the interface is governed by an equation of Mathieu type,

$$\frac{d^2 \zeta}{dt^2} + (\delta + \epsilon + \epsilon \cos 2\omega t) \zeta = 0.$$

Express the parameters  $\delta$  and  $\epsilon$  in terms of  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\Delta U$ .

4) Do you think the addition of oscillations makes the interface more or less stable compared to the classical Kelvin-Helmholtz problem with  $\omega = 0$ ? You may want to read about Mathieu's equation to answer this question.